UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,739	07/18/2005	Robert John Mabbott	7532-2	8873
	7590 12/23/200 CMHARDT, MORIAR	8 ГҮ, MCNETT & HENRY LLP	EXAMINER	
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700			SHAH, MANISH S	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/542,739	MABBOTT, ROBERT JOHN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Manish S. Shah	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Oct</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-25 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast.	rn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/542,739 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 1-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: With respect to claim 1, applicant put limitation in parenthesis (or like material).

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. With respect to claim 5 applicant just claiming SMP, which is not proper, it suppose to be "SMP or like material". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. With respect to claim 6 applicant just claiming SMP layer, which is not proper, it suppose to be "SMP or like material layer". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. With respect to claim 1, applicant claiming "a flexible layer of shape memory polymer (or like material)". Applicant claiming "or like material", which is not clear in the specification, which are the material, as an

Application/Control Number: 10/542,739 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

example vinyl plastic, which is made from polymer, and can make in any shape and stays the same. So it is not clear which material. For examining purpose examiner consider vinyl plastic as a like a material.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "or like material" in claim 1 is used by the claim to mean "any polymer material, which can form any shape and stays same after make it". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2853

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinclair (# US 3616192) in view of Smith et al. (# US 5084309).

Sinclair discloses a method of providing a surface of a material with an image, the method comprising applying to the surface an image sheet comprised of (i) a flexible layer of a vinyl plastic (column: 1, line: 40-50), and (ii) an image bonded to said layer by means of an image key coat (laminating), and bonding said image sheet to the surface by means of an adhesive (column: 2, line: 25-60) and a process which involves heating of the vinyl plastic layer to a temperature above its Glass Transition Temperature (column: 3, line: 1-20, line: 35-50; see Claim: 1). They also discloses the adhesive for bonding the image sheet to the material is a heat activated adhesive which is activated at a temperature 2-3.degree. lower than the Glass Transition Temperature of the vinyl layer (column: 2, line: 55-75; column: 3, line: 10-20).

Sinclair discloses all the limitation of the method of providing a surface material with image except that heating of material to a temperature above its glass transition temperature. (2) The material is lather.

However, Sinclair teaches that the heating of the vinyl plastic layer from 175 degree F to 212 degree F gives the tacky characteristics.

Therefore, it would have been obvious that Sinclair teaches that the heating of the vinyl plastic layer above its glass transition temperature.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,739

Art Unit: 2853

Smith et al. teaches that to have the better adhering property, use vinyl plastic or leather material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the surface material of Sinclair by the aforementioned teaching of Smith et al. in order to have a better adhering property, which gives high quality image.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the glass transition temperature of SMP from 25 to 45 C and the thickness of SMP layer from 20 to 60 microns, since it has been held that it is not inventive to discovering and optimum value or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA1955).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Manish S. Shah/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

/MSS/